UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS 5 P 3 -

MICHAEL WATSON, INDIVIDUALLY, AND AS FATHER AND NEXT FRIEND OF JOHN WATSON, Plaintiff

04 11 7 2 DEW

v.

PARTNER INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS,

Defendant

MAGISTRATE JUDGE 1

SUMMONS ISSUED LOCAL RULE 4.1 WAIVER FORM MCF ISSUED

BY DPTY, JULK

DATE

### NOTICE OF REMOVAL

TO THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446, the Defendant, Electrolux Professional Outdoor

Products, Inc. (improperly named in the Complaint as "Partner Industrial Products" and hereinafter
referred to as "Electrolux"), hereby gives notice of the removal to this Court of this action, which was
commenced in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Suffolk County Superior Court (Civil Action No.
04-2012-F). As grounds for this removal, Electrolux respectfully states as follows:

- 1. The plaintiff, Michael Watson, Individually and as Father and Next Friend of John Watson, brought this action in Suffolk County Superior Court by a complaint that was served upon Electrolux by certified mail and was received on or August 2, 2004. (See Summons and Complaint attached hereto as Exhibit A.)
- 2. According to the complaint, the plaintiff is an individual residing in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 3. The named defendant, Partner Industrial Products, n/k/a Electrolux Construction Products North America, is an unincorporated division of Electrolux.

- The removing party, Electrolux, is an Ohio corporation with its principal place of 4. business in Kansas.
- The plaintiff's action is a civil action over which this Court has diversity subject-matter 5. jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, because the amount in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and the action is between citizens of different states.
- Electrolux is entitled to remove the plaintiff's action to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 6. §§ 1441 and 1446, because the Court has original jurisdiction over the action; the action is pending within this District and Division; and Electrolux is not a citizen of Massachusetts.
- Copies of all process and pleadings served on Electrolux in this action are attached 7. hereto as Exhibit A.

Signed, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, on August 13, 2004

ELECTROLUX PROFESSIONAL OUTDOOR PRODUCTS, INC. By its attorneys,

id A. Barry, BBO No. 031520

SUGARMAN, ROGERS, BARSHAK & COHEN, P.C.

101 Merrimac Street, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02114 (617) 227-3030

Dated: August 13, 2004

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that on the above date I served the foregoing document, by mailing a copy of same, postage prepaid, to the following counsel of record:

James E. Byrne, Esquire Finneran, Byrne & Drochsler, LLP 50 Redfield Street Boston, MA 02122

David A. Barry

Doc. #353025

# COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, ss.

SUPERIOR COURT

MICHAEL WATSON, INDIVIDUALLY, AND AS FATHER AND NEXT FRIEND OF JOHN WATSON,

Plaintiffs,

CIVIL ACTION NO: 04-2012F

v.

PARTNER INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS,

Defendant.

# COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

- The plaintiff, Michael Watson, is a resident of Dorchester, Suffolk County, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 2. The plaintiff, John Watson, is a minor who brings this action by his father and next friend, Michael Watson, and is a resident of Dorchester, Suffolk County, Massachusetts and is the minor child of Michael Watson.
- 3. The defendant Partner Industrial Products ("Partner Products") is a foreign corporation with a principal place of business located at 1151 Bryn Mar Avenue, Itasca, IL 60143.
- 4. Jurisdiction over the defendant, pursuant to M.G.L. c. 223A, §3, arises from the defendant's:
  - transacting business in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts;
  - (b) contracting to supply services or things in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts;
  - (c) causing tortious injury by an act or omission in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts:
  - (d) causing tortious injury in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts by an act or omission outside of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and regularly doing and soliciting business, and engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and

deriving substantial revenue from goods used or consumed or services rendered in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts; and/or

- (e) being registered to do business in Massachusetts.
- On or about December 5, 2001, the plaintiff, Michael Watson, while working as a laborer on the Central Artery Project also known as "the Big Dig," in Boston, Massachusetts, was caused to sustain severe and permanent personal injuries as a result of using a hand-held model K2300 EL electric cut-off saw (hereinafter "cut-off saw"). After cutting iron rods from a construction wall and while descending a ladder, the cut-off saw contacted Mr. Watson's left leg, severing his peroneal nerve which required emergency surgery.
- The defendant, Partner Products, designed, developed, tested, manufactured, assembled, marketed, packaged, distributed and/or sold the subject cut-off saw.

# COUNT I (Negligence - Partner Industrial Products)

- 7. The plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 6.
- 8. The defendant, Partner Products, failed to use reasonable care when it initiated and participated in the design, development, testing, manufacture, assembly, marketing, packaging, distribution and/or sale of the subject cut-off saw. The product was defective and unreasonably dangerous because, among other things, it was not equipped with adequate guards and/or a blade braking mechanism to protect users from injury and which the defendant knew, or should have known, were likely to cause injury to foreseeable users.
- 9. The defendant knew, or should have known, of the dangerous and defective nature and condition of the product and distributed it in a way that Partner Products foresaw, or should have foreseen, would probably result in the use of the product by a person such as Michael Watson, who would likely be ignorant of the dangerous nature of the product.
- 10. The defendant failed to disclose all of the relevant information regarding the risks associated with the use of the product and failed to adequately warn foreseeable users of all the dangers associated with use of the product.
- As the direct and proximate result of the defendant's negligence and carelessness,
   Michael Watson was caused to suffer severe and permanent personal injuries.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiffs demand judgment against the defendant, Partner Industrial Products, in a full, fair and just amount, together with interest and costs, and for such other relief as may be appropriate.

# COUNT II (Breach of Warranties - Partner Industrial Products)

- 12. The plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 11.
- The defendant, Partner Products, is a merchant with respect to goods of the kind which caused Michael Watson's injuries, and expressly and impliedly warranted that the product was merchantable, safe and fit for the particular purpose for which is was being used when it caused injury.
- 14. The defendant knew, or had reason to know, the particular purpose for which the product was required and that the plaintiff, Michael Watson, was relying on the defendant's skills and judgment to select and furnish suitable goods. The product, the component parts from which it was constructed, and the warnings and instructions that accompanied the product were defective, and, therefore, the product was not in merchantable, safe or fit as warranted by the defendant.
- 15. The defendant further breached the warranties of merchantability with respect to the plaintiff in that the cut-off saw was not a reasonably safe product because:
  - The foreseeable risks exceeded the benefits associated with the product;
  - The product is more dangerous than ordinary consumers would expect and more dangerous than other products marketed at the same time for the same purpose;
  - c. The product did not have adequate, effective warnings and instructions in light of the dangers associated with its use; and
  - d. The product failed to have adequate guards and/or a blade braking mechanism.
- 16. As the direct and proximate result of the defendant's breach of warranties, Mr. Watson was caused to suffer severe and permanent personal injuries.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiffs demand judgment against the defendant, Partner Industrial Products, in a full, fair and just amount, together with interest and costs, and for such other relief as may be appropriate.

# COUNT III (Loss of Consortium, Parental Affection and Society -Partner Industrial Products)

- 17. The plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 16.
- 18. As a direct and proximate cause of the defendant, Partner Product's, negligence and breach of warranty, the plaintiff, John Watson, has been deprived of the parental affection, care, comfort, society, counsel, services and earning capacity of his father, Michael Watson.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiffs demand judgment against the defendant, Partner Industrial Products, in a full, fair and just amount, together with interest and costs, and for such other relief as may be appropriate.

# DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

The plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury on all counts so triable asserted above.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PLAINTIFFS,

By their attorneys,

FINNERAN, BYRNE & DRECHSLER, L.L.P.,

James E. Byrne, Esq.

B.B.O.#: 06856**/** 

Thomas Drechsler, Esq.

B.B.O.#: 134840 50 Redfield Street Boston, MA 02122

(617) 265-3900

Date: May 7, 2004

# NOTICE TO DEFENDANT — You need not appear personally in court to answer the complaint, but if you claim to have a defense, either you or your attorney must serve a copy of your written answer within 20 days as specified herein and also fike the original in the Clerk's Office.

# Commonwealth of Massachusetts

SI.	ΙĦ	FΟ	ιĸ	2.9
	JF.	Г	டா	. 33



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT CIVIL ACTION

34-2012

	Individually		
	John Watson,		

Partner Industrial Products,

\_\_\_\_\_\_ , Defendant(s)

### SUMMONS

Ta tha shave-named ligiphnam; follower thusberter the	To the above-named Defendan	Partner	Industrial	Products	:
---	-----------------------------	---------	------------	----------	---

James E. Byrne, Esq. of You are hereby summoned and required to serve upon.

Finneran, Byrne & Drechsler, LLP

plaintiff's attorney, whose address is 50 Redfield Street, Boston, MA 02122, an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within 20 days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You are also required to file your answer to the complaint in the office of the Clerk of this court at Boston either before service upon plaintiff's attorney or within a reasonable time thereafter.

Unless otherwise provided by Rule 13(a), your answer must state as a counterclaim any claim which you may have against the plaintiff which arises out of the transaction or occurrence that is the subject matter of the plaintiff's claim or you will thereafter be barred from making such claim in any other action.

Witness, Suzanne V. DelVecchio, Esquire, at Boston, the\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_, in the year of our Lord two thousand \_\_

Michael Joseph Donovan

Clerk/Magistrate

- 1. This summons is issued pursuant to Rule 4 of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 2. When more than one defendant is involved, the names of all defendants should appear in the caption. If a separate summons is used for each defendant, each should be addressed to the particular defendant.
- 3. TO PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY: PLEASE CIRCLE TYPE OF ACTION INVOLVED
  - (1) TORT (2) MOTOR VEHICLE TORT -- (3) CONTRACT -- (4) FQUITABLE RELIEF -- (5) OTHER

# CIVIL ACTION

-- Case 1:04-cv-

Document 1

rial Good Massachusetts Superior Court Departigen10 of 14

County: Suffolk

COVER SHEET 04-2012F Partner Industrial Products, PLAINTIFF(S) Michael Watson, Individually and as Father and lext Friend of John Watson. ATTORNEY (if known) ATTORNEY, FIRM NAME, ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE lames E. Byrne, Esq. (617) 265-3900 io Redfield Street 3oston, MA 02122 Origin code and track designation Board of Bar Overseers number 068560 4, F04 District Court Appeal c.231, s. 97 & 104 (After Place an x in one box only: trial) (X) 5. F05 Reactivated after rescript; relief from 1, F01 Original Complaint judgment/Order (Mass.R.Civ.P.60) (X) 2. F02 Removal to Sup.Ct. C.231, s.104 6. E10 summary Process Appeal(X) (Before trial) (F) 3. F03 Retrensfer to Sup.Ct. C.231,s.102C (X) TYPE OF ACTION AND TRACK DESIGNATION (See reverse side) IS THIS A JURY CASE? TRACK TYPE OF ACTION (specify) CODE NO. ( X ) Yes ( ) No (A The following is a full, itemized and detailed statement of the facts on which plaintiff relies to determine money damages. For this form, disregard double or treble damage claims; indicate single damages only. Products Liability (Attach additional sheets as necessary) 13,095,36 Total hospital expenses..... Documented medical expenses to date: Total doctor expenses.... Total chiropractic expenses..... 1. 2. Total physical therapy expenses..... 11,941.69 3. Total other expenses (describe) \_\_\_\_\_\_ Ambulance \_\_\_\_\_\$ 25,433.05 4. 208,664.82 B. Documented lost wages and compensation to date..... 5. C. Documented property damages to date..... unknown D. Reasonably anticipated future medical and hospital expenses..... E. Reasonably anticipated lost wages..... unknowi F. Other documented items of damages (describe)..... \$ <u>208,664,82</u> G. Brief description of plaintiff's injury, including nature and extent of injury (describe) While working on the Central Artery Project ("the Big Dig"), the plaintiff, Michael Watson, sustained a severed peroneal nerve in his left leg, which has required him to undergo extensive medical severed peroneal nerve in his left leg, which has required him to undergo extensive medical severed peroneal nerve in his left leg, which has left him with a permanent loss of treatment, including surgery and physical therapy, and which has left him with a permanent loss of treatment, including surgery and physical therapy, and which has left him with a permanent loss of his employment as a construction laborer. The plaintiff, John Watson, makes claim for his loss of his left parental affection and society. 234,097.87 father's parental affection and society. TOTAL \$ CONTRACT CLAIMS (Attach additional sheets as necessary) Provide a detailed description of claim(s): 234,097.87 TOTAL \$ \_\_\_\_\_\_ PLEASE IDENTIFY, BY CASE NUMBER NAME AND COUNTY, ANY RELATED ACTION PENDING IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT NONE

"I hereby certify that I have complied with the requirements of Rule 5 of the Supreme Judicial Court Uniform Rules on Dispute Place of the Supreme Formation about court-connected dispute resolution services Resolution (SJC Rule 1:18) requiring that provide clients with information about court-connected dispute resolution services and disadvantages of the various methods Signature of Attorney of Record A.O.S.C. 1-2000 AOTC-5 mtc005-11/99

# Commonwealth of Massachusetts County of Suffolk The Superior Court

CIVIL DOCKET# SUCV2004-02012-F

RE: Watson et al v Partner Industrial Products

TO:James E Byrne, Esquire Finneran Byrne & Drechsler 50 Redfield Street Eastern Harbor Office Park Boston, MA 02122

# TRACKING ORDER - A TRACK

You are hereby notified that this case is on the average (A) track as per Superior Court Standing Order 1-88. The order requires that the various stages of litigation described below must be completed not later than the deadlines indicated.

# STAGES OF LITIGATION DEADLINE

Service of process made and return filed with the Court Response to the complaint filed (also see MRCP 12) All motions under MRCP 12, 19, and 20 filed All motions under MRCP 15 filed All discovery requests and depositions completed All motions under MRCP 56 served and heard Final pre-trial conference held and firm trial date set Case disposed	08/09/2004 10/08/2004 10/08/2004 08/04/2005 06/30/2006 08/29/2006 12/27/2006 05/11/2007
--	--

The final pre-trial deadline is <u>not the scheduled date of the conference</u>. You will be notified of that date at a later time.

Counsel for plaintiff must serve this tracking order on defendant before the deadline for filing return of service.

This case is assigned to session F sitting in CtRm 2 - 12th fl., 90 Devonshire St., Boston, Suffolk Superior Court.

Dated: 05/18/2004

Michael Joseph Donovan Clerk of the Courts

BY: Martin J. Conley/Timothy Walsh

Location: CtRm 2 - 12th fl., 90 Devonshire St., Boston

Assistant Clerk

Telephone: 617-788-8131

Disabled individuals who need handicap accommodations should contact the Administrative Office of the Superior Court at (617) 788-8130

(Rev. 12/96)	se 1:04-cv-1178	GIVIL <sub>D</sub> (		ER SHEET	6/2004 Page 1	2 of 14			
The JS-44 civil cover sheet by law, except as provided to of the Clerk of Court for the	and the information cort by local rules of court. The purpose of initiating the	is form, approved b civil docket sheet. (	er replec by the Ju SEE INS	e nor supplement the fill idicial Conference of the U TRUCTIONS ON THE RE		gs or other papers as required er 1974, is required for the use			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
Michael Watson, Next Friend of J		and as Fathe	r and		trial Products	₹			
1		1			MA MIC 16 P 3	3: <u>1</u> 7			
<b>7</b> -1	e maey Lighton & Albitter			COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF	FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT				
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE O	IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	SES)		NOTE: INLIAND COND	in U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE DEMINATION CASES, UBE NO INVOLVED.	S.ONLY) 前妻 LOCATION OF THE			
Sugarman, Roge	- BBO No. 0311 rs, Barshak & G treet, 9th Floo	Cohen, P.C.		ATTORNEYS (F KNOWN)  James E. Byrn  Finneran, Byr  50 Redfield S  Boston, MA 02	ne & Drechsler, treet	·			
	<u> </u>	THE PART CARRO	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF PRIM		ACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF			
II, BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION: (PLACE AN ?)	(, IN QNE BOX ONITA)	(Fo	r Diversity Cases Only)	AP F DEF 1	PTF DEF or Principal Place 0 4 0 4			
Plaintiff	(U.S. Governme	nt Nota Party)				In This State			
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	S. Government (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Cit				of Business In Another State  tizen or Subject of a 🗆 3 🗆 3 Foreign Nation 🗆 8 🗆 8				
				Foreign Country					
IV. ORIGIN		(PLACE AN	"X" IN C	NE BOX ONLY) Transferre	ed from	Appeal to District			
			Reinstate Reopene		listrict 🗆 • Multidistri Litigation	ct 7 Magistrate Judgment			
V. NATURE OF SUIT	(PLACE AN "X" IN ON.	E BOX ONLY)				OTUFO STATUTES			
CONTRACT	то	RTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY		OTHER STATUTES			
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  329 Assault Libel & Stander	PERSONAL INJU 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpraci 355 Personal Injury Product Diabilit	tice y ty onat	☐ 810 Agriculture ☐ 820 Other Food & Orug ☐ 825 Orug Related Selzure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 830 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust  410 Banks and Banking  450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.  450 Deportation  470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations			
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Exc.) Veterans)	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Usblitty ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPE		S50 Airline Regs,     Se0 Occupational     Safety/Health     Se0 Other	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Parent ☐ 840 Tradernark	☐ 818 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange			
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment		371 Truth in Lendin 380 Other Personal		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 675 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410			
of Veteran's Benefits  180 Stockholders' Suits  190 Other Contract  195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 755 Motor Vehicle ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Dama Property Dama Product Liabilit PRISONER PETI	age age ly	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt, Relations	☐ 881 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 882 Black Lung (923) ☐ 883 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 884 SSID Title XVI	#91 Agricultural Acts     #82 Economic Stabilization Act     #82 Environmental Matters     #84 Energy Allocation Act     #85 Freedom of			
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	☐ 510 Motions to Vac	-	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act	(405(g))	information Act  900 Appeal of Fee Determination			
☐ 216 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment		Sentence HASEAS CORPUS    530 General		☐ 740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Under Equal Access to Justice  950 Constitutionality of			
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations  444 Welfare	535 Death Pen≥hy	Taber .	☐ 796 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	State Statutes  State Statutory Actions			
290 All Other Real Property	440 Other Civil Rights	540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 871 IRS — Third Party 26 USC 7609				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	M (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STAT	TUTE UNDER WHICH YO NONAL STATUTES UNLE	U ARE FILI SS DIVERS	NG AND WRITE BRIEF STATEME (TY.)	NT OF CAUSE.				
See A	Attached Sheet								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS	S A <b>CLASS ACTI</b> 3	ON	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES O	only if demanded in complaint			
VIII.RELATED CASE(	J.	POGE			DOCKET NUMBER				

COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23

VIII.RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

IF ANY None

PATE

August 13, 2004

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #\_\_\_\_\_ AMOUNT\_\_\_\_\_ APPLYING IFP\_

MAG. JUDGE \_\_

### VI. Cause of Action

Plaintiff Michael Watson alleges that he sustained a severed peroneal nerve in his left leg while working with an electric cut off saw designed and manufactured by the defendant. The Complaint alleges that the saw was defective, resulting in plaintiff's injuries. This Court has diversity subject-matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 32 because the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and the action is between citizens of different states.

Doc. #353034

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

			District of Antonicacourte			FILE	
	TITLE (	OF CASI	E (NAME OF FIRST PARTY ON EACH SIDE ONLY) Michae	1 Watso	n v.		CHICE
			dustrial Products		2794	MC II	<u></u>
٠			WHICH THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON THE NUMBERED NA	TURE OF	UIT COI	DE LISTEI	ON THE
			SHEET. (SEE LOCAL RULE 49.1(A)(1)).		11.5	STAICT	COURT MASS
1	CIAIT	'O'A K'YK 5	·			UST SF	MASS
		L	160, 416, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.				
,		II.	195, 362, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, 740, 790, 791, 820°, 830°, 840°, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.		*	O 128 er A mark er er	AO 121 spyright case
	<u>x</u>	m₋	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891.				
	_	įv.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 670, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 700.				
	_	v.	150, 152, 153.				
	None		JMBER, IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(E)	<b>.</b>			
	HAS A	PRIOR .	ACTION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND RASED ON THE SA			BEEN FILI	
	COURT	T <del>1</del>		YES	IJ	NO	X
	DOES :	тне со	MPLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY	OF AN AC	T OF CO	NGRESS A	FFECTING 1
			REST? (SEE 28 USC 2403)	YES		NO	<del>12</del>
	IF SO,	IS THE	U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A P.	ARTY?	_		
				YES	0	МО	
	IS THI	S CASE	REQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT C	OURT OF	THREE J	IUDGES P	URSUANT TO
		28 USC		YES		NO	盘:
		- "			T AP 14	4664L-4111	CFTTS
			TIES IN THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF T	HE DISTRIC		NO V22VCBO	123
	(WOR	TUE W	R <u>County</u> ) - (See Local Rule 44.1(C)). (Estern Section ( <u>Berk?Hire, Franklin, Hampden or Han</u>			• •	<u>—</u>
			RULE 40.1(D)).	YES		NO	
	•						operació A
	DO <u>A1</u>	<u>,L</u> of T	THE PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE C		ND∕OR W □		∰ SECTIONS O
		DISTRIC	TT: TES, IN WHICH SECTION DOES THE PLAINTIFF RESIDE:	YES		NO	<b>'A'</b>
	(e)					stern	
+			ECTION D.				
0.	IF AN	Y OF T	HE PARTIES ARE THE UNITED STATES, COMMONWEALTH OF THE U.S.A. OR THE COMMONWEALTH, DO ALL OTHER PARTI	MASSACH ES RESIDE	4H1 7H	OR ANY	
	CENT	RAL SE	ECTION YES NO OR WESTERN SECTION	ON; YES	u	NO	
P1	FASE.	TYPE O	R PRINT)				
			MEDavid A. Barry				
٠.	DRESS	Sugar	rman, Rogers, Barshak & Cohen, P.C., 101 Me	rrimac	St., 9	th Flo	or, Bosto
			. (617) 227-3030				
		.rev - 3/9					